```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
 2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
                        SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 3
 4
5
     ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
                          Plaintiff, )
6
7
               vs.
                                       )
8
     GOOGLE, INC.,
                          Defendant.
9
10
11
12
13
           -- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY --
14
15
          Videotape Deposition of DAVID I. AUGUST, Ph.D., taken
16
     by Plaintiff, pursuant to Notice, held at 1185 Avenue of the
17
     Americas New York, New York, commencing at 9:06 A.M., on
     Friday September 16, 2011, before Jeffrey Benz, a Certified
18
19
     Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
20
     Public within and for the State of New York.
21
22
23
24
     Pages 1 - 212
25
                                                         Page 1
```

1	August	
2	Q. Same sentence, paragraph 108.	02:18:32
3	A. Okay.	02:18:35
4	Q. What	02:18:39
5	A. Yes, I see that.	02:18:40
6	Q. What do you mean by third-party devices?	02:18:41
7	A. So that would be a device that's made by	02:18:43
8	a manufacturer other than these devices that are	02:18:47
9	mentioned that are branded Google.	02:18:55
10	Q. Okay. Have you examined any third-party	02:19:05
11	Android devices?	02:19:19
12	A. I've seen them. I've touched them. I	02:19:23
13	haven't examined them to the in connection with	02:19:29
14	this case.	02:19:32
<mark>15</mark>	Q. Okay. Do you know anything about what	02:19:33
16	portions of the Android operating system is on	02:19:39
17	third-party devices?	02:19:43
18	A. It's specific well, because the	02:19:48
19	the manufacturers can modify the source code, it's	02:19:55
20	unclear what without actually examining the	02:20:01
21	device, what is actually on the device.	02:20:05
22	Q. So you don't know what's on any	02:20:09
23	third-party devices, right?	02:20:14
24	A. Because I haven't done that analysis, I	02:20:17
25	don't know what's on the third-party devices.	02:20:18
	Pag	je 128

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services 866 299-5127

1	August	
2	Q. That's because you haven't looked at the	02:20:20
3	code on third-party devices?	02:20:22
4	A. That's correct.	02:20:25
5	Q. Do you have any other reason to conclude	02:20:27
6	what may or may not be on the third-party devices?	02:20:35
7	MR. KAMBER: Objection. Form.	02:20:39
8	A. Not concluding what is or isn't. I'm	02:20:41
9	simply stating that the I haven't seen Oracle	02:20:44
10	establish what portions are on the third-party	02:20:48
11	devices.	02:20:50
12	Q. And you haven't looked at any	02:20:52
13	third-party devices yourself to make a	02:20:56
14	determination of what's on them?	02:20:58
15	MR. KAMBER: Objection. Form.	02:21:00
16	A. I wasn't asked to do that.	02:21:02
17	Q. So you didn't do it?	02:21:03
18	A. So I have not done that.	02:21:06
19	Q. You say, Device manufacturers often	02:21:09
20	modify the source code.	02:21:18
21	What device manufacturers are you	02:21:21
22	referring to?	02:21:24
23	A. Well, in this case it would be the	02:21:28
24	third-party device manufacturers.	02:21:30
25	Q. Okay. And what is your basis for saying	02:21:31
	Pag	ge 129

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services 866 299-5127

1	August	
2	the third-party device manufacturers often modify	02:21:35
3	the source code?	02:21:39
4	A. That that would be Exhibit X.	02:21:39
5	Q. Anything else besides Exhibit X?	02:21:46
6	A. At least Exhibit X. I'm not recalling	02:21:48
7	additional.	02:22:04
8	Q. And did you	02:22:07
9	A. But you I'm sorry, go ahead.	02:22:09
10	Q. Did you conduct any research to	02:22:11
11	determine how third-party device manufacturers	02:22:15
12	modify source code?	02:22:19
13	A. No, I did not.	02:22:20
14	Q. Did you make any investigation into how	02:22:23
15	third-party device manufacturers modify the source	02:22:29
16	code?	02:22:33
17	A. No, I didn't need to examine the devices	02:22:34
18	to understand what Oracle had or had not	02:22:37
19	established in this respect.	02:22:40
20	Q. Well, you're not in this sentence saying	02:22:46
21	what Oracle had or had not established. You're	02:22:48
22	making an affirmative statement that third-party	02:22:52
23	device manufacturers often modify the source code.	02:22:55
24	And do you see that?	02:22:59
25	A. Yes.	02:23:02
	Pag	ge 130

1	August	
2	Q. And are you standing by that affirmative	02:23:02
3	statement?	02:23:04
4	A. I am, based on the the deposition of	02:23:06
5	Patrick Brady.	02:23:09
6	Q. And that's that limited excerpt of the	02:23:13
7	deposition of Patrick Brady?	02:23:27
8	A. That's the part of the deposition	02:23:29
9	transcript that I examined.	02:23:33
10	Q. And are you relying on anything else for	02:23:53
11	the statement in that sentence, this is an	02:24:01
12	important issue because device manufacturers often	02:24:04
13	modify the source code?	02:24:06
14	MR. KAMBER: Objection. Form.	02:24:09
15	A. Well, I think that the the deposition	02:24:11
16	transcript, in my mind, is sufficient. I may have	02:24:16
17	seen things in the course of this work that	02:24:24
18	confirm what's described, or at least suggest	02:24:27
19	similar conclusions that are in that that	02:24:31
20	deposition transcript.	02:24:34
21	Q. Well, what other things?	02:24:36
22	A. Nothing comes to mind at the moment, but	02:24:40
23	I've looked at a number of things, and it's	02:24:46
24	possible that I've come across additional	02:24:48
25	suggestions that device manufacturers modify the	02:24:53
	Pag	re 131

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4) Ss.:
	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
5	
6	
7	I JEFFREY BENZ, a Certified Realtime
8	Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
9	Public within and for the State of New York, do
10	hereby certify:
11	That the witness whose examination is
12	hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn by me and
13	that this transcript of such examination is a true
14	record of the testimony given by such witness.
15	I further certify that I am not related to
16	any of the parties to this action by blood or
17	marriage and that I am in no way interested in the
18	outcome of this matter.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
20	hand this 17th of September, 2011.
21	
22	Jeffer Ben
.23	
24	JEFFREY BENZ, CRR, RMR
25	
	211

Veritext National Deposition & Litigation Services 866 299-5127